LEGAL AND REALESTATE RECORD COMPLETE

COURT PROCEEDINGS

MONDAY, APRIL 3, 1916.

Justice McReynolds.

Walter V. Hoagland, of North Platte, mond, Va.; Joseph Madden, of Keene, N. H.; R. N. Simms, of Raleigh, N. C.; William J. Mulligan, of Hartford, Conn.; J. Grattan MacMahon, of Brooklyn, N. Y.; William Shannon Nelson, of Columbia, S. C.; Sam J. Nicholis, of Spartanburg, S. C.: Ira A Campbell, Spartanburg, S. C.: Ira A Campbell, of Spartanburg, S. C.: Ira A Campbell, Spartanburg, Spartanbur Nicholia, of Spartanburg, S. C.; Ira A. Campbell, of San Francisco, Cal.; Bernard J. Killian, of Boston, Mass.; Louis B. Williams, of New York City; Wil-liam W. Kitchin, of Raleigh, N. C.; Charles Toye, of Boston, Mass.; Sam-uel W. Foster, of Philadelphia, Pa.; nan S. Hertwig, of New York Cecil E. Heller, of Greensburg, Chevalier, of Louisville, Edward F. Merrey, of Paterson, N. J.; Albert O. Miller, jr., of Passalc, N. J.; William Harold Hitchcock, of Boston, Mass.; John K. English, of Elizabeth, N. J.* Harold R. Waldo, of e City, Utah; Patrick H., of Chicago, Ill.; Leon R. Shreveport, La.; Richard D. of Waco, Tex., and Thomas L. No. 284-G. F. Varner et al., appel-

tel Company, appellant, vs. the New Hampshire Savings Bank et al., and No. 266-The Jackson-Walker Coal and Material Company, appellant, vs. the New Hampshire Savings Bank et al. Appeals from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth circuit. Decrees affirmed with and causes remanded to the ct Court of the United States of Kansas. Opinion by Mr. Justice McReynolds.

201-Southern Express Company, plaintiff in error, vs. John
Byers. In error to the Supreme Court
of the State of North Carolina, Judgment reversed with costs, and cause
remanded for further proceedings not
inconsistent with the opinion of this
court. Opinion by Mr. Justice McKenna and
Mr. Justice Holmes concur in the re
of Columbia denied.

No. 901. C. W. Johnson, trustee, etc.,
petitioner, vs. Louisville Woolen Mills.
No. 100. Trustee, etc.,
petitioner, vs. Louisville Woolen Mills.
No. 100. Trustee, etc.,
petitioner, vs. Louisville Church for the petitioner,
united by Mr. Meiville Church for the petitioner,
No. 629. Atlantic Coast Line Railroad
Company, plaintiff in error, vs. Lizzle M.
No. 303. The United States, appellant,
No. 100. Trustee, etc.,
petitioner, vs. Louisville Do. Church in behalf of Mr. Meiville Church for the petitioner,
No. 629. Atlantic Coast Line Railroad
Company, plaintiff in error, vs. Lizzle M.
Mims, as administratrix, etc. Motion to
dismiss or affirm submitted by Mr. Mills
Signification of Appeals for the Third Circuit submitted by Mr. Meiville D. Church in behalf of Mr. Meiville Church for the petitioner,
No. 503. The United States, appellant,
No. 503. The United States, appellant,
No. 504. Trustice Holmes and Mr. Justice McKenney, Flanner,
No. 505. Atlantic Coast Line Railroad
Company, plaintiff in error, vs. Lizzle M.
Mims, as administratrix, etc. Motion to
dismiss or affirm submitted by Mr. Mills
Bank; attorneys, Davis, Lamar-Dawkdismiss or affirm submitted by Mr. Mills
Bank; attorneys, Davis, Lamar-Dawkdismiss or affirm submitted by Mr. Mills
Bank; attorneys, Davis, Lamar-Dawkdismiss or affirm submitted by Mr. Mills
Bank; attorneys, Davis, Lamar-Dawkdismiss or affirm submitted by Mr. Mills
Bank; attorneys, Davis, Lamar-Dawkdismiss or affirm submitted by Mr. Mills
Bank; attorneys, Davis, Lamar-Dawkdismiss or affirm submitted by Mr. Mills
Bank; attorneys, Davis, Lamar-Dawkdismiss or affirm submitted by Mr. Mills
Bank; Atlanta Company attorneys, Gardiner,
Bank; Atlanta Company attorneys, Gar plaintiff in error, vs. John In error to the Supreme Court State of North Carolina, Judg-

Auditorium Association; and

pany of Illinois, trustee, etc. Appeals vs. from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. Decree in No. 182 affirmed with costs. Appeal in No. 174 dismissed, and writ of certionari granted, and decree reversed with costs, and remanded to the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois for further proceedings in accordance with the opinion of this court. Opinion by Mr. Justice

Pitney.

pany, appellant, vs. the United States, appellant, vs. the United States, appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company; No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company; No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company; No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company; No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company; No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company; No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company; No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company; No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company; No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant, vs. the United States; and remanded to the District Supreme Court.

No. 575—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant, vs. the United States, appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company; No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant ws. the United States, appellant, vs. the United States, appellant, vs. the United States, appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company.

No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant ws. the United States, appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company.

No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant ws. the United States, appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Company.

No. 576—Lucien L. Nunn et al., appellant, vs. the United States, appellant, vs. the United States, appellant, vs. the Beaver River Power Compan

olds took no part in the considera- tioners, vs. the Shanley Company,

proceedings in accordance with the

the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan, Judgment affirmed with costs. Opinion by Mr. Justice Van Devanter. (Mr. Justice McReynolds is of opinion that the judgment is not final within the Manufacturing Company, petitioner, vs.

Court of Claims. Judgment modified and affirmed. Opinion by Mr. Justice Hughes. (Mr. Justice McReynolds took no part in the consideration or decision of this

No. 163. Jones National Bank, plainff in error, vs. Charles E. Yates et al.; No. 164. Bank of Staplehurst, plaintiff error, vs. Charles E. Yates et al.;

No. 185. Utica Bank, plaintiff in error, vs. Charles E. Yates et al.; and No. 186.—Thomas Bailey, plaintiff in error, vs. Charles E. Yates et al. In error to the Supreme Court of the State of Nebraska. Judgments reversed with costs, and causes remanded with in-structions to reinstate the judgments entered in the District Court which are affirmed. Opinion by Mr. Jusice Hughes, No. 293. Carl U. Ackerlind, administra-tor, etc., appellant, vs the United States. Appeal from the Court of Claims, Judg-ment reversed, and cause remanded for further proceedings in conformity with the opinion of this court. Opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes. (Mr. Justice McRey-

nolds took no part in the consideration or decision of this court). No. 258. Armour & Company, plaintiff No. 258. Armour & Company, plainuit plainant.

In error to the Supreme Court of the State of North Dakota. Judgment affirmed with costs. Opinion by Mr. Justin W. Lane, Secretary of the Interior, plaintiff in error, where the United States of America execution of the States of America execution of the States of States of States of America execution of the States of States of America execution of the States of States of States of America execution of the States of States of

remanded for further proceedings not in-

269-Seaboard Air Line Railway,

Supreme Court of the United States

Fresent: The Chief Justice, Mr. Justice Holmes,
Mr. Justice Holmes,
Mr. Justice Holmes,
Mr. Justice Hughes, Mr. Justice Van
Devanfer, Mr. Justice Pitney and Mr.
Justice McReynolds. and rule to show cause awarded returnable Monday, May 1, next.

B. E. Tatum, of Chattanoogs,
J. Leicester Watts, of RichVa.; Joseph Madden, of Keene,
Sagrafay of the Interior, Motion for

Copper and Iron Company, Ltd. Decree entered confirming report of commission-ers to fix boundary line. No. 21. James Sim, petitioner. vs. Will-

iam Edenborn; and No. 22. Thomas P. Alder, petitioner, vs. William Edenborn. Ordered that these cases be restored to the docket for

No. 559. John H. Sears, trustee, etc., appellant, vs. the City of Akron. Motion to advance denied.

No. 559. John H. Sears, trustee, etc., Frederick S. Tyler in behalf of Mr. John H. Vaughn for the petitioners, and by Mr. Edward J. White and Mr. Thomas

No. 572. Utah Power and Light Com-

Appeal from the Court of Claims. Judgment affirmed, Opinion by Mr. Justice Van Devanter. (Mr. Justice McReynolds took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.

No. 68. Detroit & Mackinao Railway Company, plaintiff in error, vs. Michigan Railroad Commission et al. In error to the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan Judgment affirmed with costs. Opingan, Judgment affirmed with costs. Opinga

the judgment is not final within the meaning of section 237. Judicial Code, the Telescope Bed Company. Petition for a writ of certiforari to the United No. 107. Frank G. Farnham, appellant, vs. the United States. Appeal from the Henderson in behalf of Mr. Charles F. Court of Claims. Judgment modified and Fawsett for the petitioner, and by Mr. Alian D. Kenyon for the respondent. No. 840. Jesse Isidor Straus et al., etc.,

petitioners, vs. Victor Talking Machine petitioners, vs. Victor Taiking Machine Company. Motion to advance submitted by Mr. Edmond E. Wise and Mr. Walter C. Noyes for the petitioners, and by Mr. Hector T. Fenton and Mr. Frederick A. Blount for the respondent

No. 911-Clark Pease et al., peti-tioners, vs. Rathbun-Jones Engineering Company. Petition for a wr certiorari to the United States certification to the United States Cir-cuit Court of Appeals for the Fifth circuit submitted by Mr. F. W. Bran-denburg in behalf of Mr. Perry J.

Lewis for the petitioner.

No. 16, original. The State of Missouri, complainant, vs. Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad Company

Answer filed, on motion of Mr. O. N.
Spencer for the defendant.
No. 16, original—The State of Missouri, complainant, vs. Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Raliroad Company.
Leave granted to file motion to strike out parts of answer on mopany. Leave granted to file motion to strike out parts of answer, on mo-tion of Mr. J. T. Barker for the com-

tice McKenna.

No. 302. St. Louis, Iron Mountain & southern Railway Company, plaintiff in error, vs. the State of Arkansas. Judgment affirmed with costs. Opinion by Mr. Justice McKenna.

No. 211. Tourised States of America ex rel. Julia Lamere Mickadiet, etc., et al. Leave granted to file objections to brief filed herein as amicus curiae, on motion of Mr. Irving F. Baxter for the defendants in error.

Mr. Justice McKenna.

No. 233—Indian Territory Illuminating Oil Company, plaintiff in error, vs. The State of Oklahoma. In error to the Suspense Court of the State of Oklahoma.

Judgment reversed with costs, and cause Jouett for appellants. tober 10, on motion of Mr. E. S. Jouett for appellants, No. 850—Louisville and Nashville

consistent with the opinion of this court. Opinion by Mr. Justice McKenna.

No. 277—Harriet A. Bariow, plaintiff in error, vs. Northern Pacific Railway Company. In error to the Supreme Court of the State of North Dakota. Judgment the State of North Dakota. Judgment and Mr. Henry L. Stone for the State of North Dakota. Judgment and Mr. Henry L. Stone for the appellant, and by Mr. Alexander affirmed with costs. Oninion by Mr. the State of North Dakota. Judgment the appellant, and by Mr. Alexander affirmed with costs. Opinion by Mr. Pope Humphrey and Mr. A. E. Rich-Chief Justice White.

No. 917-Franklin Huff et al., petiplaintiff in error, vs. S. W. Kenney, ad-tioners, vs. the United States. Peti-ministrator, etc. In error to the Su-preme Court of the State of North Caro-lina. Judgment affirmed with costs. lina. Judgment affirmed with costs.
Opinion by Mr. Chief Justice White.
No. 291—Farmers and Mechanics' National Bank of Philadelphia vs. Ridge Avenue Bank et al. On a certificate from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. Question certified answered in the affirmative.

Peals for the Fifth circuit submitted by Mr. C. L. Bartlett, Mr. John, D. Little, Mr. Arthur Arthur or practice, and by Mr. Mr. Solicitor of Columbia; attorney, W. J. Lambert, Assignment today: 279—Tract vs. District of Columbia; attorneys, Ridout—Syme. 2901—Ambrose vs. United States; argument commenced by Mr. J. S. Easby—way and Electric Company; attorneys, Smith for appellant, continued by Mr. Newmyer, Lyon & Lyon—Barbous.

No. 683—Monadnock Mills, petitioner, vs. Henry E. Fushey, administrator, etc. Petition for a writ of
certificati to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the First
circuit, submitted by Mr. Jesse C. Atcircuit, submitted by Mr. Jesse C. Atfor the petitioner, and by Mr. George
F. Morris and Joseph Madden for the
F. Morris and Joseph Madden for the
respondent.
No. 81. Paul English et al., petitioners,
vs. Ella Wyman Brown et al. Petition
for a writ of certificati to the United

289—Roth vs. Love; attorneys, Carmody,
Mather—Newmyer.

389—Roth vs. Love; attorneys, Carmody,
Mather—Newmyer.

389—Roth vs. Love; attorneys, Carmody,
Mather—Newmyer.

385—Roth vs. Love; attorneys, Carmo

vs. Ella Wyman Brown et al. Petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit submitted by Mr. H. C. Third Circuit submitted by Mr. H. C. Brome for the petitioner, and by Mr. Chauncey D. Parker for the respondent.

No. 999. George A. Fuller Company, petitioner, vs. Otis Elevator Company.

Patint Appeal 1030—In re Reginald A. petition for a writ of certiorari to the Patents affirmed; opinion by Mr. Chief Patents affirmed; opinion by Mr. Chief Patents affirmed; opinion by Mr. Chief Patents afformed; opinion by Mr. Chief Patents afformed; opinion by Mr. Chief Patents afformed; opinion by Mr. Chief Justice Shepard. and process ordered to issue returnable on Monday, October 9, next.

No. 1, Original—The State of Georgia, complainant, vs. The Tennessee Copper Company and The Ducktown Sulphur, Frederic D. McKenney and Mr. John Copper and Iron Company, Ltd. Decree

S. Flannery for the respondent.

Petition for a writ of ceruoral and Justice Shepard.

Out of Appeals of the District of Col-Justice Shepard.

Patent Appeals 1025 and 1029—Liewellyn vs. Upson; and Upson vs. Liewellyn; decision of Commissioner of Patents affirmed as to all counts save count it; as

Circuit Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit submitted by Mr. Frank S. Bright and Mr. Leslie A. Gilmore for the petitioner, and by Mr. Solicitor General

No. 272. Mark Craig, plaintiff in error, vs. the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Ordered that this case be restored to the docket for reargument, and assigned for the Eighth Circuit submitted by Mr.

Davis for the respondent.

No. 583. Helen Hise et al., petitioners, vs. Western Coal & Mining Company. Petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit submitted by Mr.

Davis for the respondent.

No. 583. Helen Hise et al., petitioners, vs. Western Coal & Mining Company. Petition vs. United States of American Line (Company) in the proposition of the respondent.

No. 583. Helen Hise et al., petitioners, vs. Western Coal & Mining Company. Petition vs. United States of American Line (Company) in the respondent.

No. 583. Helen Hise et al., petitioners, vs. Western Coal & Mining Company. Petition vs. United States of American Line (Company) in the respondent.

No. 583. Helen Hise et al., petitioners, vs. Western Coal & Mining Company. Petition vs. United States of American Line (Company) in the respondent.

No. 583. Helen Hise et al., petitioners, vs. Western Coal & Mining Company. Petition vs. United States of American Line (Company) in the respondent.

No. 583. Helen Hise et al., petitioners, vs. Western Coal & Mining Company. Petition vs. United States of American Line (Company) in the respondent of the line (Company) in the respondent of the respondent of the respondent of the line (Company) in the respondent of the res

for the Fifth Circuit denieu.

No. 894. Alvin H. Stout, petitioner, vs. les A. Snow and Mr. William P. Everts the United States. Petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit Hitchcock for the defendant in error in opposition thereto.

Carter vs. Twyman, page 2864—Supreme Council Royal Arcani vs. Behrend; reargument commend by Mr. Philip Walker for appellant.

denied.

No. 898. William E. Crutchley, petitioner, vs. National Fireproofing Company.
Petition for a writ of certiorari to the Court of Appeals of the District

Opposition thereto.

No. 322. Beler Water Heater Company, petitioner, vs. Pittsburgh Water Heater Company.
Petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court to the United States Circuit

Justice Mckenna and vs. Lehigh Valley Raliford Company dismiss or affirm submitted by Mr. Justice Holmes concur in the reet al. Reassigned for argument on Tuesday, October 10 next, on motion of Mr. Lyles for the defendant in error. Leave
Solicitor General Davis for the appelgranted to counsel for plaintiff in error. Leave

Auditorium Association; and

174. Chicago Auditorium Associa174. Chicago Auditorium Associa174. Chicago Auditorium Associa174. Chicago Auditorium Associa175. Chicago Auditorium Associa175. Chicago Auditorium Associa176. Chicago Auditorium Associa177. Auditorium Associa177. Chicago Auditorium Associa177. Auditorium Associa Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. Decree pany, appellant, vs. the United States: Appeals for the Second Circuit. Disin No. 162 affirmed with costs. Appeal
No. 575. The United States, appellant, missed with costs, on motion of counsel

Chief Justice Edward K. Campbell, Judge Fenton W. Booth, Judge Samuel S. Barney, Judge George W. Atkinson, and Judge George E. Downey. The Chief Justice announced the following:

Judgment for claimant in Mrs. Eugenie Brown Miller, administra-

Edward Allen Amelia McClaugherty, administratrix. The Journal & Tribune Company. Defendants' demurrer overruled. Congressional findings of fact and cor clusion in

K. Corwin, daughter. Warren D. Crandall. Clarence W. Denton James P. Harbeson. Albert H. VanDeusen. Jessie M. Phinney, widow. Gianton G. Weish et al. heirs. Geo. D. McKinney. James B. Smith. John C. Andrew Hattie Williams et al., heirs,

Lucy A. Durant, widow. Catherine Weirick, widow. Isaac R. Sherwood. S. J. Samuelson vs. United States. Messrs. C. F. Consaul and W. R. Andrews were heard for plaintiff, and Mr. Marvin Farrington for defendants. S. A. Laughlin vs. United States. Dis-

Jose Perea vs. United States. Submitted by Messrs. King & King for plaintiff, and Mr. G. T. Stormont for defend-

Neils Mortenson, John Davenport and M. Allen vs. United States. Submitted by Harry Peyton for plaintiffs, and Mr. T. Stormont for defendants. Johanna Richenau vs. United States. Case reinstated.

States. Preliminary motion overruled.

Louis Gathman vs. United States, Preliminary motion submitted by defendliminary motion submitted by dant's counsel, Mr. H. C. Workman. Emily L. Garrard vs. United States. Remanded to general docket. M. N. Miller vs. United States. Dis-

C. D. Pennebaker for plaintiffs, and Mr. P. G. Walker for defendants.
The following cases are posted for trial
Tuesday (today).
Trial calendar numbers 185, 187, 188 and 147, 190, 192, 193, 194, 95, 96 and 197.

D. C. Court of Appeals. Present: Chief Justice Shepard, Asso-clate Justice Van Orsdel and Associate Justice Robb.

Ellerson vs. Belmont; jury respice; plaintiff's attorneys, Douglas, Ruffin & Obear and J. J. Darlington; defendant's

2841—Lynham vs. Hufty; decree revers- pany; ed with costs and cause remanded with directions to dismiss the bill; opinion by Mr. Justice Van Orsdel; Chief Justice Shepard dissenting.

Mackall, Boteler.

343—Downer vs. Castle; attorneys, bewless—Syme, Stephens.

353—Morgan vs. Capital Traction Co.;

2891-Kidwell et al vs. White; decree

reversed with costs and cause remanded for an accounting and decree consistent with the opinion of this court; opinion trial; plaintiff's attorney, W. C. Balderby Mr. Justice Van Oradel.

2889—Fulton vs. United States of Amer-Pollock vs. Washington Railway and

spicials, valued and successful to the summary decket.

No. 615. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 615. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 615. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 615. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 616. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 617. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 618. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 618. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Pryor for the respondent.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Paulie Mitheway Natural Gas & J. Paulie Gas ex rel Reynolds va. Lane; judgment affirmed with costs; population by Mr. Justice Van Orsdel.

No. 619. The Newark Natural Gas & J. Paulie Gas ex rel Reynolds va. Lane; judgment on 10ce Van Orsdel.

2904—United States ex rel Reynolds vs. for defendant for costs; plaintiff's attorn Lane; judgment affirmed with costs; ney, L. H. David; defendant's attorney.

postpone hearing submitted by Mr. Char-les A. Snow and Mr. William P. Everts for the plaintiff in every few properties. Carter vs. Twyman; passed to foot of 2864-Supreme Council Royal Arcanum

> On hearing No. 2864-Royal Arcanum vs. Behrend; atorneys, Walker-Gard-

> iner.
> 2890-Palmer vs. Morgan et al; attor-Company; attorneys, Gardiner,

2910-Wilkinson vs. Van Senden; at

Justice McCoy.

Williams vs. Williams; order of publi-ation; plaintiff's attorney, A. L. Sin-

Sharpe vs. Page; reference to auditor plaintiff's attorney, W. K. Quinter. In re dissolution of the Commercial Fire Insurance Company; order directing distribution to stockholders, directing sale of furniture and allowance of commissions and counsel fees; attorneys, Douglas, Ruffin & Obear. Moulton vs. Allison; time to file tran

script of record extended to April 29 plaintiff's attorney, E. L. Gies; defendant's attorneys, A. D. Esher, J. B. Archr and J. E. Laskey. National Savings and Trust Company vs. Schenck; decree construing will and allowance of counsel fees; plaintiff's at-torney, J. J. Darlington.

Deinstein vs. Mason; decree establishing title by adverse possession; plaintiff's attorneys, Hoehling, Peelle &

Dante vs. Hutchins; on hearing; plain tiff's attorneys, Brandenburg & Branden-burg; defendant's attorney, W. G. John-

Assignment today: 30188—Dante vs. Hutchins; attor-eys, Brandenburg & Brandenburg— Gettings, Johnson, Merillat, Cohen. 196-Rice vs. Ruppert et al; attor-neys, Rice-Darr, Peyser, Koenigs

erger, Larner. 220—Rudolph and West Company vs. Howe et al; attorneys, Ottenberg, Hoehling, Peelle & Ogilby, Rhodes, Cromelin, Ford, Browning—Carlisle, Howe, Diggs.

188—Ingersoll vs. Tyler; attorneys, Swayze, Johnson—Swindell, Van Fleet,

Maddox & Gatley. with dangerous weapon; sentenced to Occoquan for four months; attorney (Probate)-In re estate of 20091 John Wheelwright; attorneys, Hackett

Circuit Division, No. 1. Justice Gould.

Roth vs. Love; leave granted John Doyle Carmody to withdraw his appearance as attorney for plaintiff; plaintiff's attorney, L. J. Mather; defendant's at-

Kettner vs. District of Columbia; ver-

dict for plaintiff for 1300; plaintiff's at-torneys, J. S. Easby-Smith, R. B. Fle-harty and T. W. O'Brien; defendant's attorney, R. J. Whiteford. McCormick vs. Etchison; order continuing January term 1916 to hear mo-tion to set aside judgment in this cause; plaintiff's attorneys, Darr, Peyser & Taylor; defendant's attorney, John Rid-

admitting will to probate and granting letters testamentary to Robert H. Mo-

attorneys,

Circuit Division No. 2.

Bean vs. Capital Traction Company with instructions to grant the writ and judgment on verdict for defendant for plaintiff's attended the petitioner from the custody of the marshal; opinion by Mr. Justody of t Flaherty vs. Allen; judgment on verdict

Cronan vs. Cronan: time to submit bill

Assignment today.

Gardiner, Hamby-Ellis & Donaldson. 194—Saunders vs. Donnan; attorneys, 374—Holmes vs. Neubeck; attorneys, Gittings & Chamberlin, Mattingly—

376-Grove vs. Grogan & Sons Co.; at

torneys, Mather, Downey-Baker, Leahy, 384-The F. H. Smith Co. vs. Hazen; atrneys, Ralston & Richardson-Pack & 386-Corporate Organization and Audit Co. vs. Castle; attorneys, McLanahan, Burton & Culbertson-O'Brien.

United States vs. William Guess; joyriding; plea guilty; referred to probation officer. Attorney, A. W. Scott.

United States vs. Augustus C. Lambath, Joseph W. Hunter, and Joseph W. Hunter, and Joseph W. Hunter, and Joseph W. Hunter, and Joseph W. Holmad Manor, lot 180, block 4-Edward T. Negosta et ux, to H. R. Howenstein and Fred W. Resers, to secure Edgar R. Richardson \$1,000.

Lambath sentenced to Occoquan for one year: Hunter sentenced to National Training School; O'Connor sentenced to penitentiary for one year and one day, we were to secure Rosalia D. Weaver \$1,000.

Resilved E. Comish et ux. Square 286, lot 23-Mary O. Stauffer & viz. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Fairfax, to secure David J. Dunigas \$300; monthly paymenta, 6 per cent.

Square 280, lot 13-Mary O. Stauffer & viz. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Fairfax, to secure Pavid J. Dunigas \$300; monthly paymenta, 6 per cent.

Square 280, lot 13-Mary O. Stauffer & viz. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Fairfax, to secure David J. Dunigas \$300; monthly paymenta, 6 per cent.

Square 280, lot 13-Mary O. Stauffer & viz. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Fairfax, to secure Pavid J. Dunigas \$300; monthly paymenta, 6 per cent.

Square 280, lot 13-Mary O. Stauffer & viz. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Fairfax, to secure David J. Dunigas \$300; monthly paymenta, 6 per cent.

Square 280, lot 13-Mary O. Stauffer & viz. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles P. Stoos and Charles W. Henry E. to Charles T. He

United States vs. William G. Madden; violation act of February 8, 1909; sentenced to penitentiary for five years; placed on probation recognizance \$100 taken; attorney, J. A. O'Shea.

United States vs. Samuel Lowey; grand largeny; sentenced to penitentiary for

onned States vs. Samuel Lowey; grand larceny; sentenced to penitentiary for two years; attorney, D. W. Baker.
United States vs. David M. McCandlish; forgery; continued for the term; attorney, David Wolf.

United States vs. Robert Pitts; housebreaking and assault to rape; sentenced to penitentiary for ten years; attorney, O. A. Bigoness. United States vs. Harry Green; assault

Tignor & Tignor.

United States vs. Thomas A. Hackley and Norman F. Hagg; housebreaking and larceny; Hackley sentenced to jail for one year; Hagg, four months, United States vs. McKenzie and Robert S. Hawkins; violation Section 198 criminal code; McKenzie sentenced penitentiary two years; Hawkins sentenced jail for the process of the Tignor & Tignor. attorney, L. J. Matner; decendence of torney, A. L. Newmyer.
O'Connor vs. The Washington Post; order extending time to submit bill of exceptions to May 15, and to file transcript to June 15; plaintiff's attorney, reftag, Julia Montgomery, George W. A. L. Newmyer; defendant's attorney, W. Rea and Permeller M. Etherington; trial of issues reset for Monday, April 10.
United States vs. Champ S. Stewart
manslaughter; sentenced to penitentiar; for seven years; attorney, R. A. Hughes. United States vs. Edward King; setting up gaming table; continued for term; at-

> District Division Chief Justice Covington. In re Small Parks; hearing reset for Thursday, April 5. In re Lim until April 4. Quong; hearing continued

torney, J. A. O'Shea.

Probate Division. Justice McCoy. Estate of George F. Stutz; exemplified copy of will filed. Estate of Charles A. Newton; order

Estate of John H. Dripps; will dated Court of Appeals Decides Initial Buyer

November 4, 1911, filed.

In re McClure Kelley; petition for appointment of guardian filed; attorney, C. F. Carusi. Estate of Benjamin M. Beall; petition the District on the installment plan and

Estate of Annie K. Parris; petition for probate of will filed; attorneys, Gordon

& Gordon.

Estate of Anna M. Phelps; will dated they receive in excess of the indebtness of the intitial nurshaser.

Justice Anderson. In re Dodek Clothing Company; reference to special master.

In re J. B. Gibbs; reference to special of master

In re M. A. Scher; discharge ordered.

59006-Joseph W. Peed; administrator of the estate of John R. Peed, deceased, property.
vs. John G. Chestnut; damages \$10,000; secured \$4
plaintiff's attorneys, C. W. Fowler and

torneys, W. L. Townsend and F. S.

Equity Suits. 34234-Clara H. Reilly vs. James F. Reilly; for absolute divorce; plaintiff's attorneys, L. A. Block and F. R. Gibbs.

REAL ESTATE TRANSFERS. REAL ESTATE TRANSFERS.

Third street and Potomac avenue southess:—Lewis E. Smoot et uz. to the Senoot Sand and Graves Corporation, part original lot 6, square 802, \$30. Unicontown—Laura A. Brauman et al. to Mellice E. and Hettie A. Cornish, lots 156 and 157, \$30. Unicontown—Stanley Klein et uz. to Mellice E. and Hattie A. Cornish, lot 635, \$30. 1004 B street southeast—Henry C. Hazard et uz. to Rita P. Rafferty, part original lot 4, square 908, tin

\$10. Holmend Manor-Charles M. Carson to Edward T.

of this court. Opinion by Mr. Justice Pitney.

No. 181. Herman H. Pinel et al., appellants, va. Thomas F. Pinel et al., appellant, va. Thomas F. Pinel et al., appellant

lecision of this case).

The United States of America, in error, vs. Union Manufacturpany et al. In error to the Dispart of the United States for the Second Circuit submitted by Mr.

District of Florida. Judgment
and cause remanded for furlecisings in accordance with the
Objectings in accordance with the
Objecting of Colleges with the
Objecting

Training School: O'Connor sentenced to penitentiary for one year and one day, and sentence suspended and placed on probation, recognizance 5100 taken. At torneys, Hickey and Miller.

United States vs. Grace Simms; assult with dangerous weapon; sentenced to Occoquan for three months. Attorney, A. W. Scott.

United States vs. Cornelius Peterson; Connected to Occoquan for six months. Attorney, A. W. Scott.

United States vs. Augustus C. Lambath; Dustended to Occoquan for six months.

United States vs. Augustus C. Lambath; Dustended to Occoquan for six months.

United States vs. William Ford and Alice Jackson: adultery; sentenced to penitentiary for one year and one day, and Alice Jackson: adultery; sentenced to penitentiary for one year and six months.

United States vs. William Ford and Alice Jackson: adultery; sentenced to Denominating States of the sentenced to Occoquan for six months.

United States vs. William Ford and Alice Jackson: adultery; sentenced to penitentiary for one year and one day, and sentenced to penitentiary for one year and one day, and alice Jackson: adultery; sentenced to penitentiary for one year and one day, and sentenced to penitentiary for one year and one day, and sentenced to penitentiary for one year and one day, and sentenced to penitentiary for one year and one day, and sentenced to penitentiary for one year and one day, and marked the penitentiary for one year and one day, and sentenced to penitentiary for one year and one day, and marked the penitentiary for one year and one day and marked the penitentiary for one year and one day and the penitentiary for one year and one day and marked the penitentiary for one year and one day and marked the penitentiary for one year and one day and the penitentiary for one year and one day and marked the penitentiary for one year and one day and marked the penitentiary for one year and one day and the penitence of the penitentiary for one year and one day and the penitentiary for one year and one day and the penitentiary for one year and one da

Jacob S. Gruver \$1,300; monthly payments, e per cent.

Square 1930, lot 114—Brettle K. Eigh et ux. to Algernon S. Gardiner and W. Gilbert Dent, to secure Leach Corbin \$250; l year, 6 per cent, seemi-dinancial standing which always favor annually. Connecticut Avenue Heights, lots 30 and 31, block \$ayles.

RULING IS ISSUED

Is Entitled to Amount in Excess of Indebtedness.

Realty owners who sell property in for appointment of administrator filed; later re-sell the same property to other attorney, P. H. Moreland. people after the initial purchaser has failed to make good his payments must

Furthermore, if the first purchaser shall borrow from the realty owner money to improve the property, the owner money to improve the property, the owner cannot exact any amount from the borrowed money to pay for negotiating the loan.

This is the ruling of the District Court of Appeals to a control of the court of the of Appeals in an opinion handed down yesterday by Justice Van Orsdel. The case was that of Richard Kidwell against

Kidwell borrowed \$400 to im Mr. White is said secured \$475 to make the loan and then exacted \$75 as his commission, giving Mr. Kidwell \$600. The court held that A. A. Birney.

5907-Vera Trepagnier vs. the Pennsylthis was usury.

The court also held that Mr. Kidwell
The court also held that Mr. Kidwell

59007-Vera Trepagnier vs. the Fenney's vania Railroad Company; damages \$10.coo: plaintiff's attorney, E. L. Gles.
69008-Oscar J. Ricketts vs. Henry W.
59008-Oscar J. Ricketts vs. Henry W. ultimit bill torneys, W. L. Townsend and F. S. 120, and Smith.

plaintiff's 5909—Henry W. Zeh (appellant) vs. W. Cox: Oscar J. Ricketts: appeal; plaintiff's attorneys, F. S. Smith and W. L. Townsend.

eter. other in that transaction was merely the execution of a mort-face. Therefore, Mr. Kidwell is entitled to an accounting and to the payment of the balance of the second self-send.

> This case was remanded to the District Supreme Court for the account and "a decree consistent with opinion of the Appelate Court" Mr. Kidwell's case was presented by
> Attorneys W. W. Edwards, John Ridout and C. E. Crews. Mr. White was
> i Garel represented by Attorney Andrew Wil-

MARRIAGE LICENSES.

Criminal Division No. 1, Justice Siddons.

United States vs. Elmer E. Grady; receiving stolen property; plea not guilty. Attorney, M. F. Mangan.
United States vs. Ogie Jackson; assault to rape; plea guilty withdrawn and plea not guilty withdrawn and plea guilty referred; remanded and referred to probation officer. Attorney, H. A. Heitmuller.
United States vs. James Sanders; housebreaking and larceny; plea guilty; referred to probation officer.

United States vs. Paul Simpson; assault with dangerous weapon; verdict not guilty; defendant whicharged. Attorney, R. A. Hughes.

United States vs. George W. Ammon and Richard Hunt; assault to rob and low spaces with the same overruled. Attorney, M. K. Varnell and bowlessbreaking; motions for new trial overruled. Attorneys, M. K. Varnell and N. S. Bowles.

United States vs. Mack Chambers and bousebreaking; motions for new trial overruled. Attorneys, M. K. Varnell and N. S. Bowles.

United States vs. George W. Ammon and Richard Hunt; assault to rob and N. S. Bowles.

United States vs. Mack Chambers and bousebreaking; motions for new trial overruled. Attorneys, M. K. Varnell and N. S. Bowles.

United States vs. Mack Chambers and bousebreaking; motions for new trial overruled. Attorneys, M. K. Varnell and N. S. Bowles.

United States vs. Mack Chambers and bousebreaking; motions for new trial overruled. Attorneys, M. K. Varnell and N. S. Bowles.

United States vs. Mack Chambers and bousebreaking; motions for new trial overruled. Attorneys, M. K. Varnell and N. S. Bowles.

United States vs. Mack Chambers and bousebreaking; motions for new trial overruled. Attorneys, M. K. V Doris Cecilia Speer, Zi, of Washington, Rev. Zime E. Davis, Clifton W. Powell, M. and Edith Kidwell, M. of

Larry Dixon, E, and Bose Bankins, In. C. H. Fox. W. B. Dichson, E, and Mary Johnson, B. Re Dixon, E, and Rose Hankins, E. 5-Cerrs H. Arange et vir. John E. to Rudelp Kauffmann and John O. Simpson, to secure E D. Benaco \$1,10.88; demand, 5 per cent.

When the operator reports "Busy" or "Don't Answer," remember that it is easier for her to put through the call than to make such a report. If you are calling a party line telephone, remember that the "busy" report may not necessarily be because the particular telephone you are calling is in use; possibly it is some other telephone on the same line.

Be slow to blame the operator for every little difficulty. There are two other parties to a telephone conversation.

When you Telephone-Smile



THE CHESAPEAKE AND POTOMAC TELEPHONE COMPANY